

Lecture 8 — Representation of associative algebra (I)

Xiong Rui

August 3, 2020

- ▶ We still assume the modules mentioned to be finite dimensional.
- ▶ What is easy

$\boxed{\text{projective modules}} \leftrightarrow \boxed{\text{simple modules}} \leftrightarrow \boxed{\text{injective modules}} .$

- ▶ What is difficult

$\boxed{\text{indecomposable modules}} .$

- ▶ Can we find a category such that indecomposable modules is “easy”?

Functor category

- ▶ Let R be a finite dimensional k -algebra. Consider $\text{Fun}(R)$ the full subcategory of additive functors of $(k\text{-vec})^{R\text{-mod}}$ in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}$. Or more exactly

$$\text{Fun}(R) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{Object :} & F : R\text{-mod} \rightarrow k\text{-vec} \quad \text{additive functor} \\ \text{Morphism :} & \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \alpha \\ F' = R\text{-mod} \rightarrow k\text{-vec} \end{array} \quad \text{natural transform} \end{array} \right.$$

- ▶ It forms a sub-abelian category of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{C}}$

- ▶ We can define the **Yoneda embedding** a cotrivial functor

$$\tilde{*} : R\text{-mod} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(R) \quad M \mapsto \text{Hom}_R(M, -).$$

Theorem (Yoneda)

$$\text{Mor}_{\text{Fun}(R)}(\tilde{M}, F) = F(M).$$

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, N) = \text{Mor}_{R\text{-mod}}(M, N) = \text{Mor}_{\text{Fun}(R)}(\tilde{N}, \tilde{M}).$$

$$M \tilde{\oplus} N = \tilde{M} \oplus \tilde{N} \quad \widetilde{\text{cok } f} = \ker \tilde{f}.$$

- ▶ We call an $F \in \text{Fun}(R)$ **representable** if $F \cong \tilde{M}$.

Projective object

- ▶ For an $M \in R\text{-mod}$, \tilde{M} is projective

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{M} & \\ \exists \swarrow & \downarrow & \\ A & \twoheadrightarrow B & \twoheadrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

$$\iff \text{Mor}(\tilde{M}, A) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Mor}(\tilde{M}, B)$$

$$\iff A(M) \twoheadrightarrow B(M)$$

$$\iff A \twoheadrightarrow B$$

- ▶ So

$$\boxed{\text{representability}} \Rightarrow \boxed{\text{projectivity}}$$

Projective object

- ▶ We call an $F \in \text{Fun}(R)$ is **finitely generated** if it is isomorphic to some quotient of \tilde{M} .
- ▶ If F is finitely generated and projective, then it is representable.

F projective

\iff some nontrivial idempotent $\tilde{e} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow F \rightarrow \tilde{M}$

\iff some nontrivial idempotent $e : M \rightarrow M, F = e(\tilde{M})$

$$\boxed{\text{projectivity} + \text{f.g.}} \Rightarrow \boxed{\text{representability}}.$$

- ▶ Through the Yoneda embedding,

$$\{R\text{-module}\} \xleftarrow{1:1} \{\text{f.g. projective object}\}$$

$$\{\text{indecomposable } R\text{-module}\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{f.g. indecomposable projective object}\}$$

- ▶ Define rad the intersection of maximal proper sub-object (may not exist).
- ▶ For any simple object $S \in \text{Fun}(R)$, there is a unique indecomposable $M \in R\text{-mod}$, such that $F = \tilde{M}/\text{rad } \tilde{M}$.
- ▶ Actually, M is the indecomposable module such that

$$S(M) \neq 0 \iff \exists \tilde{M} \xrightarrow{\neq 0} S \text{ is simple} \Rightarrow \exists \tilde{M} \twoheadrightarrow S.$$

It is unique by the general fact of projective covering.

- ▶ Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \{R\text{-module}\} &\xleftarrow{1:1} \{\text{semisimple object in } \text{Fun}(R)\} \\ \{\text{indecomposable } R\text{-module}\} &\leftrightarrow \{\text{simple object}\} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ When M, N is indecomposable,

$$\text{rad } \tilde{M}(N) = \{M \xrightarrow{f} N : f \text{ is not invertible}\} := \text{rad}(M, N).$$

$$\text{rad}(\text{rad } \tilde{M})(N) = \{M \xrightarrow{f} L \xrightarrow{g} N : f \in \text{rad}(M, L), g \in \text{rad}(L, N)\} := \text{rad}^2(M, N).$$

- ▶ One can define the **Auslander–Reiten quiver**

vertices = {indecomposable modules},

$\dim \frac{\text{rad}(M, N)}{\text{rad}^2(M, N)}$ many edges $M \rightarrow N$.

- ▶ Actually, $\text{Fun}(R)$ is equivalent to the category of modules of its **Auslander algebra (may be infinite dimensional)**. Then the radical is literally radical.
- ▶ Actually, denote $S_M = \tilde{M} / \text{rad } \tilde{M}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Ext}_{\text{fun}(R)}(S_M, S_N) &= \text{Hom}_{\text{fun}(R)}(\text{rad } \tilde{M} / \text{rad}^2 \tilde{M}, S_N) \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} \text{Hom}_{\text{fun}(R)}(S_N, \text{rad } \tilde{M} / \text{rad}^2 \tilde{M}) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\text{fun}(R)}(\tilde{N}, \text{rad } \tilde{M} / \text{rad}^2 \tilde{M}) \\ &= \frac{\text{rad}(M, N)}{\text{rad}^2(M, N)}\end{aligned}$$

Minimal resolution

- ▶ A map $M \xrightarrow{f} N$ is said to be **left almost split** if f does not admit a retraction and

For any module L , any map $M \rightarrow L$, either factors through N , either admits a retraction.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \swarrow \\ L & \xrightarrow{g} & M \end{array}$$

is called **left minimal** if $\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \forall h \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array}$ is invertible.

- ▶ Assume M is indecomposable,

$\tilde{N} \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}/\text{rad } \tilde{M} \rightarrow 0$ is a minimal resolution
 $\iff f$ is left almost split and left minimal.

- ▶ If a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} L \rightarrow 0$$

satisfies the following equivalent conditions, we will call it **almost split sequence**

- ▶ f is left almost split and left minimal.
- ▶ f is left almost split and g is right almost split.
- ▶ g is right almost split and right minimal.
- ▶ M is indecomposable, and g is right almost split.
- ▶ L is indecomposable, and f is left almost split.

- Let $M \in R\text{-mod}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_1 \xrightarrow{f} P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 & & \text{minimal projective resolution} \\ & \Downarrow & \text{apply } *^t = \text{Hom}_R(*, R) \\ 0 \rightarrow M^t \rightarrow P_0^t \xrightarrow{f^t} P_1^t \rightarrow \text{Tr } M \rightarrow 0 & & \text{Tr } M = \text{cok } f^t \end{array}$$

- Note that $\text{Tr} : R\text{-mod} \rightarrow R^{\text{op}}\text{-mod}$,

$$\boxed{\text{nonprojective left modules}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{Tr}} \end{array} \boxed{\text{nonprojective right modules}}$$

- ▶ For M, N , denote the **stable homomorphism**

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_R(M, N) = \frac{\text{Hom}_R(M, N)}{\{M \rightarrow P \rightarrow N : \text{for some projective } P\}}$$

Theorem (Auslander–Reiten Formula)

For $M, N \in R\text{-mod}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{\text{Hom}}_R(M, N) &= \text{Tor}_R(\text{Tr } M, N) \\ &= D \text{Ext}^R(\text{Tr } M, D N) = D \text{Ext}_R(N, D \text{Tr } M),\end{aligned}$$

where $DM = M^\vee$ the dual space.

Theorem (Auslander–Reiten)

If M is indecomposable and non-projective, then there exists an almost split sequence

$$0 \rightarrow D \operatorname{Tr} M \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

$$0 \neq * \in D(S_M) \hookrightarrow D \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}(M, M) \cong \operatorname{Ext}(M, D \operatorname{Tr} M) \ni [E].$$

When M is indecomposable and projective, then $\operatorname{rad} M \rightarrow M$ is right almost split and right minimal.

Theorem (Auslander–Reiten)

If M is indecomposable and non-injective, then there exists an almost split sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow \text{Tr D } M \rightarrow 0.$$

When M is indecomposable and injective, then $M \rightarrow M/\text{soc } M$ is left almost split and left minimal.

Auslander–Reiten Theorem

- ▶ In conclusion, if M is indecomposable, the finitely generated minimal resolution exists and is

$$\begin{cases} 0 \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Tr D } M} \rightarrow \tilde{E} \rightarrow \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}/\text{rad } \tilde{M} \rightarrow 0 & M \text{ is non-injective} \\ 0 \rightarrow \widetilde{M/\text{soc } M} \rightarrow \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}/\text{rad } \tilde{M} \rightarrow 0 & M \text{ is injective} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ In particular, for M, N indecomposable,

$$\tilde{E}/\text{rad } \tilde{E} \cong \text{rad } \tilde{M}/\text{rad}^2 \tilde{M}$$

So any $f \in \text{rad}(M, N)/\text{rad}^2(M, N)$ is from $M \rightarrow E \overset{\oplus}{\twoheadrightarrow} N$.

- ▶ When we take a decomposition of E into indecomposable modules $E = \bigoplus E_i$. Then $\{M \rightarrow E \twoheadrightarrow E_i \cong N\}$ forms a basis for $\text{rad}(M, N)/\text{rad}^2(M, N)$.

Theorem

If R is of finite representation type, then its Auslander–Reiten quiver has no multiple edges.

- ▶ Otherwise, assume that $M \rightarrow N$ has more than 2 edges.
- ▶ If $f \in \text{rad}(M, N) \setminus \text{rad}^2(M, N)$, by consider the $\text{im } f$, we know that f is surjective or injective but not isomorphic.
- ▶ Without loss of generality that $\dim M > \dim N$.

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Tr } D N \rightarrow M^2 \oplus E \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

So $\dim \text{Tr } D N \geq \dim N$, and $\text{Tr } D N \rightarrow M$ has more than 2 edges.

- ▶ Continue this process, we get infinite indecomposable modules of different dimensions.

Theorem (Brauer-Thrall conjecture)

A finite dimensional algebra is either of finite representation type or there exist indecomposable modules with arbitrarily large dimension.

Theorem (Harada and Sai)

If M_i 's are indecomposable and $\dim M_i \leq b$, Any chain of maps

$$M_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{2b-1}} M_{2b}$$

has its composition vanishing.

Brauer-Thrall conjecture

- ▶ If $\text{Hom}(M, N) \neq 0$, then M and N can be connected by directed path $M \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow N$ in Auslander–Reiten quiver since

$$0 = \text{rad}^{2^b}(M, N) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \text{rad}(M, N)^2 \subseteq \text{rad}(M, N) \subseteq \text{Hom}(M, N).$$

- ▶ For any indecomposable M , there exists an indecomposable P with $\text{Hom}(P, M) \neq 0$.
- ▶ But indecomposable projective modules are finite, and by Auslander–Reiten theorem (the existence of minimal resolution), Auslander–Reiten quiver are “locally finite”, so there is only finite many vertices.

More functor category

- ▶ We can consider $\underline{\text{Fun}}^\vee(R) \subseteq \text{Fun}^\vee(R)$ the category of contravariant functors F vanishing over all projective modules; Then

$$\boxed{\text{f.g. proj. object}} = \underline{\text{Hom}}(-, M) \quad \boxed{\text{f.g. inj. object}} = \text{Ext}(-, M).$$

- ▶ One can also consider $\underline{\text{Fun}}(R) \subseteq \text{Fun}(R)$ the category of functors F vanishing over all projective modules. Then

$$\boxed{\text{f.g. proj. object}} = \underline{\text{Hom}}(M, -).$$

- ▶ The Auslander–Reiten formula describes how this reflects duality functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R\text{-mod} & \xrightarrow{M \mapsto \underline{\text{Hom}}(M, -)} & \underline{\text{Fun}}(R) \\ \text{D Tr} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{D} \\ R\text{-mod} & \xrightarrow{M' \mapsto \text{Ext}(-, M')} & \underline{\text{Fun}}^\vee(R) \end{array}$$

References for associative algebras

- ▶ Auslander, Reiten, Smalø. Representation theory of Artin algebras.
- ▶ Benson. Representations and cohomology.
- ▶ Assem, Simson, Skowroński. Elements of the Representation Theory of Associative Algebras Volume 1 Techniques of Representation Theory.

Xiong Rui

Functor category

Auslander–Reiten
theory

References

Thanks

Thanks